Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

NOV 1 2 2003

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference							
200-007-01	FOR FURTHER ACTION	ON SeeNotifica Examination	ationofTransmittalofInternational Preliminary on Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No.	International filing date (d	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/JP00/06391	19 September 2000	(19.09.00)	03 April 2000 (03.04.00)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or 1 G06F 17/60, B65G 1/137	national classification and IP	C	RECEIVED				
Applicant			NOV 1 0 2003				
	DOJO, Mak	oto	Technology Center 2600				
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.							
to the approach as	colding to Afficie 56.						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 13 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
This report is also accompani amended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the	Lus lebbit and/or sneers cor	ISIDIDO TECHTICO	on, claims and/or drawings which have been tions made before this Authority (see Rule				
These annexes consist of a to	tal of sheets						
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
I Basis of the report							
II Priority							
III Non-establishment o	f opinion with regard to nove	lty, inventive ste	ep and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of inve	ntion						
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;							
VI Certain documents cited							
VII Certain defects in the international application							
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand	Date	of completion of	this report				
26 October 2001 (26.10		13 February 2002 (13.02.2002)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP	Auth	Authorized officer					
Facsimile No.	Telep	none No.					

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/JP00/06391

I. :	I. Basis of the report								
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*									
	\boxtimes	the inte	ernational application as originally filed						
		the des	cription:						
		pages	, as originally filed						
		pages	, filed with the demand						
		pages	, filed with the letter of						
		the clai	ims:						
		pages	, as originally filed						
		pages	, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19						
		pages	, filed with the demand						
		pages	, filed with the letter of						
		the dra	wings:						
		pages	, as originally filed						
		pages	, filed with the demand						
		pages	, filed with the letter of						
	\Box	he seque	ence listing part of the description:						
		pages	, as originally filed						
		pages	, filed with the demand						
		pages	, filed with the letter of						
2.	the ir	the lan	to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which and application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. Its were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is: Inguage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). Inguage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
	Ш	or 55.3	[′]						
3.	With	minary e	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international xamination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
	\parallel		ned in the international application in written form.						
	H		ogether with the international application in computer readable form.						
	닖		ned subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
	\square		ned subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.						
		The st interna	tatement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the titional application as filed has been furnished.						
		The sta	atement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has urnished.						
4.		The an	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:						
			the description, pages						
			the claims, Nos.						
		1 1	the drawings, sheets/fig						
5.		This rep	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**						
	in thi	icement : is report 0.17).	sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to t as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16						
**	** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.								

International application No.

PCT/JP00/06391

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
the entire international application.
Claims Nos. 1-12,25-37
because:
the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-12 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
SEE SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos
the claims, or said claims Nos. 25-37 are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
no international search report has been established for said claims Nos
A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions: the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard. the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.

International application No. PCT/JP 00/06391

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III

The disclosure of Claim 1 sets forth a plurality of steps, but there is no clear disclosure concerning the instigator of performance of each step, and it is understood that acts of humans are included.

Therefore Claim 1 is a business method based on deliberate arrangements and/or economic principles.

Claims 2 to 12, which refer back to Claim 1, are delimiting conditions concerning business methods, and similarly as a whole correspond to a business method.

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	15, 17-24	YES
	Claims	13, 14, 16	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	13-24	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	13-24	YES
	Claims	•	NO

2. Citations and explanations

Claim 13

Document 1 (JP 10-320494 A (Toshiba Corporation),
December 4, 1998 (04.12.98)(Family: none)), column 24,
paragraph [0089] states that "the market client system (3)
of buyers and sellers wishing to participate in the market
prepares buying and selling application information and
transfers it to a central market system (1), and paragraph
[0091] states that "central market system (1) stores
application data to purchasing application data storage
part (12) or selling application data storage part (13)
according to the transaction application information
received from the client system (3)."

The configuration for receiving transaction application information from client systems in the aforementioned disclosures corresponds to the "providing condition receiving means" and "purchasing condition receiving means" in Claim 13 of this application.

Moreover, the "purchasing application data storage part" and "selling application data storage part" in the aforementioned disclosure respectively correspond to the "purchasing condition storage" and "providing condition storage" in Claim 13 of this application.

Document 1, paragraph [0092] states that "the collating part (14) of the central market system (1)

collates applications for buying and selling from the market client system (3) and promotes the realization of transactions, and Document 1, column 25, paragraph [0100] to column 27, paragraph [0116] states that for a plurality of selling applications and a plurality of purchasing applications, the preferred conditions are collated, then transactions are realized for part or the whole of these applications. Document 1, paragraph [0093] states that "with regard to collating, a transaction is generally realized if the minimum selling price is equal to or lower than the maximum purchasing price. (omitted) There are a number of conceivable methods for determining the price at which transactions are concluded, with one example being the method of taking the intermediate value between the selling price and the purchase price, for example, and it indicates that a transaction is realized even if the providing price and the purchasing price do not correspond.

Document 1, paragraph [0083] states that "the central market system (1) and the market client system (3) are both constituted using a computer, etc," and it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art to derive it as an information processing device.

Claim 13 is therefore denied novelty by Document 1.

Similarly, it is clear that Claim 13 is denied an inventive step by Document 1.

Claim 14

The "client system" cited in Document 1 clearly corresponds to the "information processing device" in Claim 14 of this application.

Claim 14 is therefore denied novelty by Document 1.

Similarly, it is clear that Claim 14 is denied an inventive step by Document 1.

Claim 15

Document 1, Column 23, paragraph 83 states that "the central market system (1) and individual market client systems (3) are capable of communicating with one another via for example a designated network such as the Internet (without being illustrated)." A communication system using the Internet, wherein the input of designated data is carried out in a form format displayed on a screen, would be obvious to a person skilled in the art, and would be added by a person skilled in the art as necessary.

In addition, providing a format that corresponds to the subject of the transaction is a feature within the range of the usual creative capacity of a person skilled in the art, and is not acknowledged to involve any particular difficulty.

Claim 15 therefore does not involve an inventive step.

Claim 16

For the same reasons as Claim 14, novelty and an inventive step are denied by Document 1.

Claim 17

For the same reasons as Claim 15, an inventive step is denied by Document 1.

Claim 18

The relationship of "provider input support means,"
"customer input support means" and "matching means" in
Claim 18 with Document 1 is the same as that stated
concerning Claim 13, so is omitted here.

Document 1, column 25, paragraph [0094] states that "the

central market system (1) notifies market client system (3) of both seller and buyer from notifying part (15) when a transaction has been realized, and paragraph [0096]

states that "it is preferable that the central market system (1) transfers necessary information to related systems to achieve cooperation with preparation of contracts, settlement of payments and logistics, etc. for transactions that have been realized," and it is clear that after the purchasing and providing side have been collated, support is provided so the transaction can be carried out. Therefore said constitution corresponds to the "transaction support means" in Claim 18 of this application.

The method for determining the agreed price disclosed in Document 1, entails carrying out the transaction at some agreed price if the price offered by the purchaser differs from the price offered by the seller. In contrast, in Claim 18 of this application, transactions are carried out at their respective offered prices.

Meanwhile, Document 1, column 25, paragraph [0093] states that "there are a number of conceivable methods for determining the price at which a transaction is carried out (omitted)," and the method of determining the agreed price is merely a matter to be determined in business, and one which would be determined as necessary by a person skilled in the art.

In addition, Nicholls, "New Airline Ticket Site Lets Consumers Set Prices"[online], internet.com Corp., April 6, 1998 (06.04.98) [date obtained: December 27, 2000 (27.12.00)] obtained from the following address over the Internet:

URL: http://www.internetnews.com/ecnews/article/0,,4_26841,00.html
(Document 2)

With regard to the matter of priority with this application, see: "Priceline.com Takes Off in First Week" Multimedia Daily, Vol. 5, Issue 70 [online], April 14,

1998 (14.04.98) [date obtained: December 27, 2000 (27.12.00)], Dialog Online Service discloses a business model for commercial transactions using computer systems, wherein the difference between the price offered by the purchasing side and the price offered by the providing side is received by the intermediary.

Both Documents 1 and 2 belong to the same technical field of mediating in commercial transactions in electronic commerce systems, and taking into account that the method of determining the agreed price is merely a matter determined deliberately in business, and that a commission being collected for acting as an intermediary was general commercial practice before the priority date of this application, applying Document 2 to Document 1 would be obvious to a person skilled in the art, and does not involve any particular difficulty.

Therefore, Claim 18 is denied an inventive step by Documents 1 and 2.

Claim 19

Document 1, column 28, paragraph [0134] states that "market client system (3) sends transaction prices at the opening of the market and other information to central market system (1) as time series data beforehand, and central market system (1) automatically updates purchase application data and selling application data for the aforementioned market client system (3) as long as time series data exists", and it would be obvious to a person skilled in the art that the "time series data" is price information with a given range.

Other points are the same as for Claim 18, and so will be omitted here.

Therefore, Claim 19 is denied an inventive step by Documents 1 and 2.

Claim 20

The "time series data" described in Document 1 referred to in the section concerning Claim 19 is data that includes the time that collating is carried out and the price at that point in time, and it is clear that for each collating time, the data corresponding to that time is used.

Therefore Claim 20 is denied an inventive step by Documents 1 and 2.

Claim 21

In Document 1, it is clear that collating is repeated a number of times.

Therefore Claim 21 is denied an inventive step by Documents 1 and 2.

Claim 22

Referring to Document 1, column 29, paragraphs [0140] to [0145], it is clear that time series data for which transactions were not established automatically becomes the subject of the next collation.

Therefore, Claim 22 is denied an inventive step in the light of Documents 1 and 2.

Claim 23

The matter of determining whether price information is disclosed or not in auctions is one which is carried out generally, and is merely an arrangement concerning business methods.

Moreover, Document 3 (US 6035289 A (International Business Machines Corporation), March 7, 2000 (07.03.00), (Family: none)) discloses double auctions using websites, and column 1, bottom line to column 2, line 3 states that "However, an example reason is that the shippers and carriers may not wish their asking prices for their

respective goods or services to be known to their competitors," and column 6, lines 47-51 states that "It is envisioned that each shipper (10) and each carrier (12) can only view and change the orders and the specifications (termed herein as "attributes") of orders that entity itself placed," indicating that purchase prices are constituted in such a manner that they are disclosed only to customers and providers who offer the price.

Both Documents 1 and 3 disclose double auction systems using the Internet, and combining the two would not involve any particular difficulty.

Therefore, Claim 23 is denied an inventive step by Documents 1 and 3.

Claim 24

Document 1, column 26, paragraphs [107] to [109] indicates that if there are a plurality of combinations that could conceivably establish a transaction, then the combinations to be formed are determined by designated rules.

Said feature obviously corresponds to the "combination determining means" in Claim 24.

Therefore Claim 23 is denied an inventive step by Documents 1 to 3.

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

(1) Claim 25

The disclosure that "for the designated delivery service specified by delivery conditions excluding at least price, the automatic extraction means which extracts from the providing condition data and purchasing condition data recorded on the aforementioned recording means those which satisfy delivery conditions" (hereinafter referred to as the "extraction means") and the "matching means which compares the provision condition data and purchasing condition data extracted by the automatic extraction means, and combines them in such a manner that the provision price and purchasing price are the same or the purchasing price is higher" (hereinafter referred to as the "matching means") disclosed in 25 are not fully supported by the description.

That is to say, the only disclosures concerning the extraction means are "... extracts those which satisfy delivery conditions" on p. 21 of the description, and the example of data indicated in Fig. 9. In addition, although there is a disclosure concerning the matching means from p. 21, line 16 to p. 24, line 2 of the description, that disclosure merely illustrates that the policy for processing using the illustrative data in Fig. 9 is a preferred feature.

In other words, with regard to the extraction means and matching means set forth in Claim 25, the method to determine the combinations that satisfy a variety of different delivery conditions is not acknowledged to be disclosed in the description as a specific method (procedure) to process general data using a computer or the like.

International application No. PCT/JP 00/06391

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

Meanwhile, US 6035289 A (International Business Machines Corporation), March 7, 2000 (07.03.00) disclosed before the priority data of this application, discloses specific methods of determining combinations that satisfy delivery conditions in a double auction system for distribution of goods carried out using websites.

Compared to the aforementioned document, technical disclosure in the description of this application is clearly inadequate, and Claim 25 and Claims 26 to 37 which refer back to Claim 25 are not acknowledged to be fully supported by the description to the extent to allow a judgment to be made on the novelty, an inventive step, and industrial applicability of the invention.

(2) Claims 34 and 35

With regard to the "fuzzy search means" in Claim 34, although the description contains a related disclosure from p. 25, line 6 to p. 26, line 6, merely preferable features are mentioned, and there is no disclosure of technical features to specifically achieve them.

Therefore Claims 34 and 35 are not acknowledged to be fully supported by the description to the extent to allow a judgment to be made on the novelty, an inventive step and industrial applicability of the invention.

This Page Blank (uspto)